RECEIVED	_
JUN 2 1 1993	



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

	BEAN BOUNDER FILING DATE SIDEY	Washington, D.C. 20231		
┪	FIRST	NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
L	7: 077944,505 09/14/92 COLLING	М_	25.835-06	
٢			<u>e exami</u> ner	
	THOMAS W. TOLPIN AMOCO CORPORATION 55 SHUMAN BOULEVARD,SUITE 600	ART UNI	PAPER NUMBER	
L	NAFERVILLE, IL 60563-8487	DATE MAILED:	7 5	
			06/16/93	

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

	approach to appropried its Alem Of:
	Applicant's failure to respond to the Office letter, mailed
0	Applicant's letter of express abandonment which is in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.138.
	Applicant's failure to timely file the response received within the period set
	Applicant's failure to pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of 3 months from the mailing date of of the Notice of Allowance.
	☐ The Issue fee was received on/
	☐ The issue fee has not been received in Allowed Files Branch as of
	In accordance with 35 U.S.C. 151, and under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.316(b), applicant(s) may petition the Commissioner to accept the delayed payment of the issue fee if the delay in payment was unavoidable. The petition must be accompanied by the issue fee, unless it has been previously submitted, in the amount specified by 37 C.F.R. 1.17 (I), and a verified showing as to the causes of the delay.
	If applicant(s) never received the Notice of Allowance, a petition for a new Notice of Allowance and withdrawal of the holding of abandonment may be appropriate in view of Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler, 172 U.S.P.Q. 513.
	withdrawal of the holding of abandonment may be appropriate in view of Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler, 172 U.S.P.Q. 513. Applicant's failure to timely correct the drawings and/or submit new or substitute formal drawings by as required in the last Office action. The corrected and/or substitute drawings were received on
01	The reason(s) below.

MARGARET MOSKOWITZ PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **GROUP 180**